7P ✓

Previous references.

File No. 118-13

1915

Serial Nos. 1+2

(S.R.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1+2

Part B. for February 1915.

SUBJECT.

Rejects the claim of the Inamdar of Poori Nagenahalli village Goribidanur Taluq to the Excise Revenue from 2 odds

---

From the Excise Commr No 5895

Submits the records of enquiry dy 18-1-14 relating to the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from 2 odds.

2. 2. The Excise Commr in report
1. The Comptroller to Govt.
No 71 3831-2 dy 6-2-15

G. R. S. 58 Disallows the claim of the Inamdar to the Revenue in question

CR

(1)

G.R.S. 11] 1913 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S. R)

NOTES.

File No. 118-13.

FILE HEADING.

Inamdars' claim to the Toddy excise revenue of Jodi Nagenahalli village, Gowribidanur Tk.

From

The Excise Commr in Mysore

No. 5895 Dated 18/1/14 Received 22/1/14

Diary No. 277	Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies. –	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the records of enquiry and states that the Joridars are not entitled to the excise revenue from toddy.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

O

The Inam Settlement has reserved ~~the~~ this item of revenue to the State and the inamdars have not produced any evidence, though the ex parte decision rejecting their claim was communicated to them. A draft rejecting the claim is submitted for orders.

VHJ

M. F. 27/1/14 Hon. 2nd PTO

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

(2

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 191

Serial No.	
	2nd Member) hg 11.1.15
	2 Draft. attd by draft
	hg 15/1 3. Draft hg
	2a. Mr Exec Commissioner in Mysore
	1. The Comptroller to Govt.
2	No fl 3831-2 of b: 245:
	Wis allows the claim of
	the Inamdars to the
	Revenue in Question

E—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

(1)

11 Sept 1914 No: 8895 — Ex: 1 and 4th

22/11 pm

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 18th January 1914.

FROM

M. Kantaraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

DIARY No. 2775

1913-1914
SECTION: Ex
FILE No. 118-13
SERIAL No. 1

K.R. Srinivasaiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the records of investigation in re the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Jodi Minakangurki Nagenahalli village in the Goribidnur Taluk together with the usual calendar and the copy of the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's docket No.427 dated the 12th December 1913/8th January 1914 and to state as follows:-

2. The Inam Settlement has reserved the Abkari revenue to the State.

3. In spite of notices repeatedly issued to the Jodidars concerned they have failed to establish their title to the revenue in question.

4. There is no working shop in the village.

5. Under these circumstances, I concur with the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidars are not entitled to the Excise Revenue from toddy of the village other than the tree-rent.

6. The exparte decision rejecting the Jamindars claim has been duly

FROM

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

GPB--1 350--25 11-12

duly communicated to them on 25th July 1913.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

(3)

Docket No.427 dated 12th December 1913/8th January1914 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Has the honor to submit herewith for transmission to Governmen ith his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the Inamdar's laim to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Minakangurki Nagenahalli village n the Goribidnur Taluk together with the usual calendar relating tc he case with the opinion of the undersigned recorded thereon. The odidars are not entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy but are enti- led to only tree-rent in accordance with para 4 of Section II of tl overnment Proceedings No.2074-83/R.F.166-92 dated 2nd August 1901.

2. The ex-parte decision rejecting the claim of the Jodidars the revenue in question has been duly communicated to the Jodidars (25th July 1913 and the records relating to the same are submitted herewith for reference.

"C o p y"

for Excise Commissioner.

Calendar of a claim to Toddy Revenue enquired

Name of Taluk.	Name of Hobli Sammad or Magani	Name of Surva Inam Jodi or Kayamgutta or Village	Name of Inamdar Jodidar or Kayamgutadar	Documents &c relied upon	Witness Examined	Fin
Gowribidanur.	Manchenahalli	Jodi Nivia Kanagurki Nagenahalli	Venkatalakrishnachar & 4 Jodidars	Nil	Nil	A notificatio Gazette on 2– inamdars to and to pro du their right t inamdars ha any documen called upon b said in the the right of Revenue is re are not there shop or groo

nto by the Sub-Divisional officer, Chickaballapur

ing)	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decission
was published in Govt. and 9.4.1908. -1908 calling upon the appear before this office e documents to establish Abkari Revenue. The e not appeared or produced though repeatedly do so. It is clearly Inam Register sheet Hal amdars to Abkari erned. The inamdars lone entitled to any rent or tree-tax.	Nil	Inam Register Sheet No. 146.

19/1/13

B Basavaraja
Sub Division officer.
Chick Ballapur—

Disallows the claim to the todry revenue of the village.

C 5

R.S. 28] 17/1

File No. 118-13.

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 2775

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(S.R)

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Letter no: 5895 dt 18/1/14 from the Excise Commr in Mysore, submitting the records of enquiry relating to the inamdars' claim to the excise revenue from todys of Nagenahalli village, Gowribidnur Tk.

Date of issue 6-2-15

Signed by W Sharmanna

ORDER THEREON.—No. H 3831-2 dt 6 February/15

The Inam settlement reserves the right to the revenue in question. The todydars have not adduced any evidence to establish their claim

over.

SUBJECT.

to it, though the ex parte decision rejecting their claim was duly communicated to them. Govt, agreeing with the decision of both the Excise Comm & the Dy Comm, hold that the petitioners are not entitled to the lodg. excise revenue of the villages.

11.1.15
For Ms Secy to Govt.

To the Excise Comm in Mysore, forwarding the records of the Comptroller & Govt.

7/1/15